### GCD Attack on the LUC<sub>4</sub> Cryptosystem

# <sup>1</sup>Wong Tze Jin, <sup>2</sup>Mohamad Rushdan Md. Said, <sup>3</sup>Mohamed Othman and <sup>4</sup>Kamel Ariffin Mohd. Atan

 <sup>1, 2, 4</sup> Institute for Mathematical Research, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.
 <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia E-mail: <sup>1</sup>tjwong1979@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup>rushdan@math.upm.edu.my

## ABSTRACT

LUC<sub>4</sub> cryptosystem is derived from a fourth order linear recurrence relation and is based on the Lucas function. This cryptosystem is analogous to the RSA, LUC and LUC<sub>3</sub> cryptosystems. Therefore, the security for this cryptosystem is similar to the RSA cryptosystem. This paper reports an investigation into the GCD attack on the LUC<sub>4</sub> cryptosystem and GCD attack is one of the polynomial attacks on LUC<sub>4</sub> cryptosystem. The GCD attack can succeed if two messages differ only from a known fixed value  $\Delta$  and are RSA-encrypted under same RSA-modulus *n*.

### **INTRODUCTION**

In 1978 Rivest, Shamir, and Adleman discovered the first practical public-key encryption and signature scheme, now referred to as RSA. The RSA scheme is based on another hard mathematical problem, the intractability of factoring large integers. This application of a hard mathematical problem to cryptography revitalized efforts to find more efficient methods to factor. The 1980s saw major advances in this area but none, which rendered the RSA system insecure. These are based on the discrete logarithm problem.

As we have known, the security is the crucial part of the cryptosystem. If we do not want to lose any investment or do not want to disclose any information which may be hacked by hacker, we require an extensively safe and secure cryptosystem.  $LUC_4$  cryptosystem is a public key cryptosystem derived from the fourth order linear recurrence relation and analogue to the RSA and LUC cryptosystems. The aim of this research is to analyze and implement this system. Based on the analysis and implementations, the security aspects will be looked into and appear to depend on the intractability of factorization. There is a possibility that our research will accomplish that goal. Thus, we will decrease the risk of losing our investment or secret information.

LUC<sub>4</sub> cryptosystem is analog to the RSA, LUC and LUC<sub>3</sub> cryptosystems, which is derived from a fourth order linear recurrence relation and based on the Lucas function. Therefore, the security of this cryptosystem is similar to the RSA cryptosystem. As we know, the security aspect is a crucial part in the public key cryptosystem. There are numerous mathematical attacks on RSA-type cryptosystem, one of them is polynomial attacks. The polynomial attacks are exploiting the polynomial structure of RSA. The GCD attack is one of the polynomial attacks. The aim of this research is to analyze and implement LUC<sub>4</sub> cryptosystem. If two messages differ only from a known fixed value  $\Delta$  and are RSA-encrypted under same RSA-modulus *n*, then it is possible to recover both of them. This situation occurs quite often, as for example:

- texts differing only from their date of compilation;
- letters sent different addressees;
- retransmission of a message with a new ID number due to an error...

### LUC<sub>4</sub> CRYPTOSYSTEM

As in the RSA, LUC and LUC<sub>3</sub> cryptosystem, the strength of the system to be constructed depends on the difficulty of factoring large number. Thus, it is necessary to pick two large secret primes p and q, the product of N which is part of the encryption key. The encryption key is (e, N) which is made public. Note that, e must be chosen so that it is relatively prime to the function  $\Phi(N) = \overline{pq}$  because it is necessary to solve the congruence  $ed \equiv 1 \mod \Phi(N)$  to find the decoding key d. In practice, since  $\Phi(N)$  depends on the type of an auxiliary polynomial, we choose e prime to p-1, q-1, p+1, q+1,  $p^2-1$ ,  $q^2-1$ ,  $p^3-1$ ,  $q^3-1$ ,  $p^3+p^2+p+1$ ,  $q^3+q^2+q+1$  to cover all possible cases.

With these preliminary observations, a public-key cryptosystem will be set out based on the quartic recurrence sequence  $V_n$  derived from the quartic polynomial,

$$x^4 - Px^3 + Qx^2 - Rx + S = 0.$$
 (1)

International Journal of Cryptology Research

180

Therefore, the quartic recurrence sequence define as

$$V_n(P, Q, R, S) = PV_{n-1} - QV_{n-2} + RV_{n-3} - SV_{n-4}, \text{ for } n > 4$$
(2)

with initial values  $V_0(P,Q,R,S) = 4$ ,  $V_1(P,Q,R,S) = P$ ,  $V_2(P,Q,R,S) = P^2 - 2Q$ , and  $V_3(P,Q,R,S) = P^3 - 3PQ + 3R$ .

In  $LUC_4$  cryptosystem, the sixth order of Lucas sequence is necessary to calculate the second plaintext. Therefore, we consider the sextic polynomial

$$x^{6} - b_{1}x^{5} + b_{2}x^{4} - b_{3}x^{3} + b_{4}x^{2} - b_{5}x + b_{6} = 0,$$
(3)

which help us to define the sixth order of Lucas sequence. Thus, the sextic recurrence sequence define as

$$V_n(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6) = b_1 V_{n-1} - b_2 V_{n-2} + b_3 V_{n-3} - b_4 V_{n-4} + b_5 V_{n-5} - b_6 V_{n-6},$$
 (4) for  $n > 6$ , (4)

with initial values  $V_0 = 6$ ,  $V_1 = b_1$ ,  $V_2 = b_1^2 - 2b_2$ ,  $V_3 = b_1^3 - 3b_1b_2 + 3b_3$ ,  $V_4 = b_1^4 - 4b_1^2b_2 + 2b_2^2 + 4b_1b_3 - 4b_4$ , and  $V_5 = b_1^5 - 5b_1^3b_2 + 5b_1b_2^2 + 5b_1^2b_3 - 5b_2b_3 - 5b_1b_4 + 5b_5$ .

Now, the encryption function is defined by

$$E(P,Q,R) = (V_e(P,Q,R,1), V_e(Q,PR-1,P^2+R^2-2Q,PR-1,Q,1), V_e(R,Q,P,1)) \equiv (C_1, C_2, C_3) \mod N,$$
(5)

where N = pq as above, (P,Q,R) constitutes the message and the encryption key, (e,N).  $V_e(P,Q,R,1)$  and  $V_e(R,Q,P,1)$  are the *e*-th term of the quartic recurrence and  $V_e(Q,PR-1,P^2+R^2-2Q,PR-1,Q,1)$  is *e*-th term of the sextic recurrence defined earlier.

The decryption key is (d, N) where *d* is the inverse of *e* modulo  $\Phi(N)$ . To decipher the message, the receiver must know or be able to compute  $\Phi(N)$  and then calculate

$$D(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}) = (V_{d}(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, 1), V_{d}(C_{2}, C_{1}C_{3} - 1, C_{1}^{2} + C_{3}^{2} - 2C_{2}, C_{1}C_{3} - 1, C_{2}, 1), V_{d}(C_{3}, C_{2}, C_{1}, 1)) \equiv (P, Q, R) \mod N,$$
(6)

which recovers the original message (P,Q,R).

In decryption,  $g(x) = x^4 - C_1 x^3 + C_2 x^2 - C_3 x + 1$ , is given but not  $f(x) = x^4 - Px^3 + Qx^2 - Rx + 1$  and so we have to deduce the type of f in order to apply the algorithm correctly.

#### GCD ATTACK

To succeed in the GCD attack, we need two plaintexts, which  $M_1$  be the first plaintext and  $M_2 = M_1 + \Delta$  be the second plaintext. Let the  $C_1 = E(M_1)$  and  $C_2 = E(M_2)$  be the corresponding ciphertexts. Then, the polynomial X and  $Y \in Z_n[x]$  defined as

$$X(x) = E(x) - C_1 \text{ and } Y(x) = E(x + \Delta) - C_2$$
(7)

Because of  $M_1$  is the root of polynomial X(x) and Y(x), we will get the polynomial

$$W(x) = \gcd(X(x), Y(x)) = x - M_1.$$
 (8)

Finally, solving the polynomial W(x) will give the plaintexts  $M_1$  and  $M_2 = M_1 + \Delta$ .

Now, let us use this idea to attack the LUC<sub>4</sub> cryptosystem. First, we choose  $(P_1, Q_1, R_1)$ to be the first set of the plaintext and  $(P_2, Q_2, R_2) = (P_1 + \Delta, Q_1, +\Delta, R_1 + \Delta)$  be the second set of the plaintext and let  $(C_{1,1}, Q_{1,2}, R_{1,3}) = E(P_1 + Q_1, +R_1) \mod n$  and  $(C_{2,1}, Q_{2,2}, R_{2,3}) = E(P_2 + Q_2, +R_2)$ mod *n* be the corresponding ciphertexts, where  $E(P_i + Q_i, +R_i) \mod n$  is the encryption function, which was defined previously and the encryption key eis relatively prime to n. Then, by the Dickson polynomial, the polynomial  $X_i$  and  $Y_i \in Z_n[x_1, x_2, x_3]$  can be defined as

$$\begin{split} X_{1}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) &\equiv V_{e}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, 1) - C_{1,1} \mod n \\ &\equiv V_{e}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, 1) - V_{e}(P_{1}, Q_{1}, R_{1}, 1) \mod n \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{e(-1)^{i+k}}{e-i-2j-3k} \right) \binom{e-i-2j-3k}{i+j+k} \binom{i+j+k}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{i} \\ &\times x_{1}^{e-2i-3j-4k} x_{2}^{i} x_{3}^{j} \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{e(-1)^{i+k}}{e-i-2j-3k} \right) \binom{e-i-2j-3k}{i+j+k} \binom{i+j+k}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{i} \\ &\times P_{1}^{e-2i-3j-4k} Q_{1}^{i} R_{1}^{j} \mod n \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{e(-1)^{i+k}}{e-i-2j-3k} \right) \binom{e-i-2j-3k}{i+j+k} \binom{i+j+k}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{i} \\ &\times x_{1}^{e-2i-3j-4k} x_{2}^{i} x_{3}^{j} - P_{1}^{e-2i-3j-4k} Q_{1}^{i} R_{1}^{j} \mod n, \end{split}$$
(9)

where  $2i - 3j - 4k \le e$ .

$$\begin{split} & X_{3}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) \\ &\equiv V_{e}(x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{1}, 1) - C_{1,3} \bmod n \\ &\equiv V_{e}(x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{1}, 1) - V_{e}(R_{1}, Q_{1}, P_{1}, 1) \mod n \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{e(-1)^{i+k}}{e-i-2j-3k} \right) \binom{e-i-2j-3k}{i+j+k} \binom{i+j+k}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{i} \\ &\times x_{3}^{e-2i-3j-4k} x_{2}^{i} x_{1}^{j} \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{e(-1)^{i+k}}{e-i-2j-3k} \right) \binom{e-i-2j-3k}{i+j+k} \binom{i+j+k}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{i} \\ &\times R_{1}^{e-2i-3j-4k} Q_{1}^{i} P_{1}^{j} \mod n \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{e(-1)^{i+k}}{e-i-2j-3k} \right) \binom{e-i-2j-3k}{i+j+k} \binom{i+j+k}{i+j+k} \binom{i+j}{i} \\ &i+j \end{pmatrix} \binom{i+j}{i} \end{split}$$

$$\times x_{3}^{e-2i-3j-4k} x_{2}^{i} x_{1}^{j} - R_{1}^{e-2i-3j-4k} Q_{1}^{i} P_{1}^{j} \mod n,$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

where  $2i - 3j - 4k \le e$ .

$$\begin{split} & Y_{1}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) \\ &\equiv V_{e}(x_{1} + \Delta, x_{2} + \Delta, x_{3} + \Delta, 1) - C_{2,1} \bmod n \\ &\equiv V_{e}(x_{1} + \Delta, x_{2} + \Delta, x_{3} + \Delta, 1) - V_{e}(P_{1} + \Delta, Q_{1} + \Delta, R_{1} + \Delta, 1) \mod n \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{e(-1)^{i+k}}{e - i - 2j - 3k} \right) \binom{e - i - 2j - 3k}{i + j + k} \binom{i + j}{i + j} \binom{i + j}{i} \\ &\times (x_{1} + \Delta)^{e - 2i - 3j - 4k} (x_{2} + \Delta)^{i} (x_{3} + \Delta)^{j} \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{e(-1)^{i+k}}{e - i - 2j - 3k} \right) \binom{e - i - 2j - 3k}{i + j + k} \binom{i + j + k}{i + j} \binom{i + j}{i} \\ &\times (P_{1} + \Delta)^{e - 2i - 3j - 4k} (Q_{1} + \Delta)^{i} (R_{1} + \Delta)^{j} \mod n \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{e(-1)^{i+k}}{e - i - 2j - 3k} \right) \binom{e - i - 2j - 3k}{i + j + k} \binom{i + j + k}{i + j} \binom{i + j}{i} \\ &\times (P_{1} + \Delta)^{e - 2i - 3j - 4k} (Q_{1} + \Delta)^{i} (X_{3} + \Delta)^{j} \\ &\times [(x_{1} + \Delta)^{e - 2i - 3j - 4k} (x_{2} + \Delta)^{i} (x_{3} + \Delta)^{j} \\ &- (P_{1} + \Delta)^{e - 2i - 3j - 4k} (Q_{1} + \Delta)^{i} (R_{1} + \Delta)^{j} \end{bmatrix} \mod n, \quad (11) \end{split}$$

where  $2i - 3j - 4k \le e$ .

$$\begin{split} & Y_{3}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) \\ &\equiv V_{e}(x_{3} + \Delta, x_{2} + \Delta, x_{1} + \Delta, 1) - C_{2,3} \mod n \\ &\equiv V_{e}(x_{3} + \Delta, x_{2} + \Delta, x_{1} + \Delta, 1) - V_{e}(R_{1} + \Delta, Q_{1} + \Delta, P_{1} + \Delta, 1) \mod n \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \right\rfloor} \left( \frac{e(-1)^{i+k}}{e - i - 2j - 3k} \right) \binom{e - i - 2j - 3k}{i + j + k} \binom{i+j+k}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{i} \\ &\times (x_{3} + \Delta)^{e - 2i - 3j - 4k} (x_{2} + \Delta)^{i} (x_{1} + \Delta)^{j} \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \right\rfloor} \left( \frac{e(-1)^{i+k}}{e - i - 2j - 3k} \right) \binom{e - i - 2j - 3k}{i+j+k} \binom{i+j+k}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{i} \end{split}$$

GCD Attack on the  $\mbox{LUC}_4$  Cryptosystem

$$\begin{aligned} & \times (R_{1} + \Delta)^{e-2i-3j-4k} (Q_{1} + \Delta)^{i} (P_{1} + \Delta)^{j} \mod n \\ & \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{e}{2} \right\rfloor} \left( \frac{e(-1)^{i+k}}{e-i-2j-3k} \right) \binom{e-i-2j-3k}{i+j+k} \binom{i+j+k}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{i} \\ & \times \left[ (x_{3} + \Delta)^{e-2i-3j-4k} (x_{2} + \Delta)^{i} (x_{1} + \Delta)^{j} \right. \\ & \left. - (R_{1} + \Delta)^{e-2i-3j-4k} (Q_{1} + \Delta)^{i} (P_{1} + \Delta)^{j} \right] \mod n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $2i - 3j - 4k \le e$ .

$$\begin{split} &X_{2}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) \\ &\equiv V_{e}(x_{2}, x_{1}x_{3} - 1, x_{1}^{2} + x_{3}^{2} - 2x_{2}, x_{1}x_{3} - 1, x_{2}, 1) - C_{1,2} \mod n \\ &\equiv V_{e}(x_{2}, x_{1}x_{3} - 1, x_{1}^{2} + x_{3}^{2} - 2x_{2}, x_{1}x_{3} - 1, x_{2}, 1) \\ &- V_{e}(Q_{1}, P_{1}R_{1} - 1, P_{1}^{2} + R_{1}^{2} - 2Q_{1}, P_{1}R_{1} - 1, Q_{1}, 1) \mod n \\ &= \sum_{i_{1}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{2}}\sum_{i_{2}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{2}}\sum_{i_{3}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{4}}\sum_{i_{4}}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{4}}\right\rfloor} \left(\frac{e(-1)^{i_{1}+i_{3}+i_{5}}}{e^{-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}}\right) \\ &\times \left(\frac{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}}{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}}\right) \left(\frac{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}}{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}}\right) \\ &\times \left(\frac{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}}{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}}\right) \left(\frac{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}}{i_{1}+i_{2}}\right) \left(\frac{i_{1}+i_{2}}{i_{1}}\right) (x_{2})^{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}} \\ &\times (x_{1}x_{3} - 1)^{i_{1}+i_{3}} (x_{1}^{2} + x_{3}^{2} - 2x_{2})^{i_{2}} \\ &- \sum_{i_{1}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{2}}\sum_{i_{3}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{2}}\sum_{i_{3}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{2}}\sum_{i_{3}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{2}}\sum_{i_{3}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{2}}\left\lfloor\frac{e}{2}\right\rfloor} \left(\frac{e(-1)^{i_{1}+i_{3}+i_{5}}}{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}}\right) \\ &\times \left(\frac{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}}{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}}\right) \left(\frac{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}}{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}}\right) \\ &\times \left(\frac{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}}{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}}\right) \left(\frac{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}}{i_{1}+i_{2}}\right) \left(\frac{i_{1}+i_{2}}{i_{1}}\right) (Q_{1})^{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}} \\ &\times (P_{1}R_{1}-1)^{i_{1}+i_{3}} (P_{1}^{2} + R_{1}^{2}-2Q_{1})^{i_{2}} \mod n \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{i_{1}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{2}\right\rfloor} \sum_{i_{2}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{3}\right\rfloor} \sum_{i_{3}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{3}\right\rfloor} \sum_{i_{5}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{3}\right\rfloor} \left( \frac{e(-1)^{i_{1}+i_{3}+i_{5}}}{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}} \right) \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5} \\ i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5} \\ i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4} \\ i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3} \\ i_{1}+i_{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i_{1}+i_{2} \\ i_{1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\times [(x_{2})^{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}} (x_{1}x_{3}-1)^{i_{1}+i_{3}} (x_{1}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}-2x_{2})^{i_{2}} \\ &- (Q_{1})^{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}} (P_{1}R_{1}-1)^{i_{1}+i_{3}} (P_{1}^{2}+R_{1}^{2}-2Q_{1})^{i_{2}} ] \mod n, \end{split}$$
(13)

where  $2i_1 - 3i_2 - 4i_3 - 5i_4 - 6i_5 \le e$ .

$$\begin{split} &Y_{2}(x_{1},x_{2},x_{3}) \\ \equiv &V_{e}(x_{2}+\Delta,(x_{1}+\Delta)(x_{3}+\Delta)-1,(x_{1}+\Delta)^{2}+(x_{3}+\Delta)^{2}-2(x_{2}+\Delta),\\ &(x_{1}+\Delta)(x_{3}+\Delta)-1,x_{2}+\Delta,1)-C_{1,2} \mod n \\ \equiv &V_{e}(x_{2}+\Delta,(x_{1}+\Delta)(x_{3}+\Delta)-1,(x_{1}+\Delta)^{2}+(x_{3}+\Delta)^{2}-2(x_{2}+\Delta),\\ &(x_{1}+\Delta)(x_{3}+\Delta)-1,x_{2}+\Delta,1) \\ &-&V_{e}((Q_{1}+\Delta),(P_{1}+\Delta)(R_{1}+\Delta)-1,(P_{1}+\Delta)^{2}+(R_{1}+\Delta)^{2}-2(Q_{1}+\Delta),\\ &(P_{1}+\Delta)(R_{1}+\Delta)-1,(Q_{1}+\Delta),1) \mod n \\ \equiv &\sum_{i_{1}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{2}\right\rfloor}\sum_{i_{2}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{3}\right\rfloor}\sum_{i_{3}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{3}\right\rfloor}\sum_{i_{3}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{3}\right\rfloor}\left(\frac{e(-1)^{i_{1}+i_{3}+i_{5}}}{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}}\right) \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix}e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}\\i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}\\i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}i_{1}+i_{2}\\i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}\end{pmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix}i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}\\i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}\\i_{1}+i_{2}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}i_{1}+i_{2}\\i_{1}\end{pmatrix}(x_{2}+\Delta)^{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}\\i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}\end{pmatrix}^{i_{1}} \\ &\times ((x_{1}+\Delta)(x_{3}+\Delta)-1)^{i_{1}+i_{3}}((x_{1}+\Delta)^{2}+(x_{3}+\Delta)^{2}-2(x_{2}+\Delta))^{i_{2}} \end{split}$$

GCD Attack on the LUC<sub>4</sub> Cryptosystem

$$\begin{split} &-\sum_{i_{1}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{2}\right\rfloor} \left\lfloor\frac{e}{4}\right\rfloor \left\lfloor\frac{e}{3}\right\rfloor}{\sum_{i_{1}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{2}\right\rfloor} \left\lfloor\frac{e}{3}\right\rfloor} \left[\frac{e}{4}\right]} \left(\frac{e(-1)^{i_{1}+i_{3}+i_{5}}}{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}}\right)} \\ &\times \left(e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}}{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}}\right) \left(i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}}{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}}\right) \\ &\times \left(i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}}{i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}}\right) \left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right) \left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right) \left(Q_{1}+\Delta\right)^{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}}{i_{1}}\right) \\ &\times ((P_{1}+\Delta)(R_{1}+\Delta)-1)^{i_{1}+i_{3}} \\ &\times ((P_{1}+\Delta)^{2}+(R_{1}+\Delta)^{2}-2(Q_{1}+\Delta))^{i_{2}} \bmod n \\ &= \sum_{i_{1}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{2}\right\rfloor} \sum_{i_{2}=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{e}{3}\right\rfloor} \left\lfloor\frac{e}{3}\right\rfloor} \left(\frac{e}{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}}{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}}\right) \\ &\times \left(e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}\right) \left(i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}+i_{5}\right) \\ &\times \left(i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}+i_{4}\right) \left(i_{1}+i_{2}+i_{3}\right) \left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right) \\ &\times \left[(x_{2}+\Delta)^{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}}((x_{1}+\Delta)(x_{3}+\Delta)-1)^{i_{1}+i_{3}}\right) \\ &\times \left[(x_{1}+\Delta)^{2}+(x_{3}+\Delta)^{2}-2(x_{2}+\Delta))^{i_{2}} \\ &-(Q_{1}+\Delta)^{e-i_{1}-2i_{2}-3i_{3}-4i_{4}-5i_{5}}((P_{1}+\Delta)(R_{1}+\Delta)-1)^{i_{1}+i_{3}} \\ &\times ((R_{1}+\Delta)^{2}+(R_{1}+\Delta)^{2}-2(Q_{1}+\Delta))^{i_{2}} \right] \bmod n, \end{split}$$

where  $2i_1 - 3i_2 - 4i_3 - 5i_4 - 6i_5 \le e$ .

Since the equations (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), and (14) do not have linear factor, then

$$W_{i} = \gcd(X_{i}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}), Y_{1}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3})), \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3$$
  
$$\neq x_{i} - M_{i},$$
(15)

International Journal of Cryptology Research

187

where  $M_1 = P_1$ ,  $M_2 = Q_1$ , and  $M_3 = R_1$ . Thus, GCD attack cannot succeed on LUC<sub>4</sub> cryptosystem.

### **DISCUSSION AND FURTHER RESEARCH**

In this respect, we are able to make a conclusion, which is the security of  $LUC_4$  cryptosystems is good enough to protect our information. This is because it does not allow the cryptanalyst to hack our information by GCD attack. Therefore, the cryptanalyst cannot get any information from this attack if we are using the LUC4 cryptosystem to encrypt our information.

For further research, we will be using other mathematical attacks to analyze the security of  $LUC_4$  cryptosystem. We will propose how they were extended and will propose ways to minimize their effects and thus enables the user to evaluate the potential danger of a future attack on the  $LUC_4$  cryptosystem.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank National Science Fellowship (NSF) from Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI) for their financial support.

#### REFERENCES

- Diffie, W. and Hellman, M. 1976. New directions in cryptography. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **IT-22**(6): 644-654.
- Joye, M. 1997. Security Analysis of RSA-type Cryptosystems. *PhD thesis,* Universite Catholique de Louvain, Belgium.
- Joye, M. and Quisquater, J. J. 1998. Cryptanalysis of RSA-type cryptosystems: a visit. *Network Threats, DIMACS Series in Discr. Math. ant Th. Comp. Sci., AMS,* 21-31.

- Rivest, R., Shamir, A. and Adleman, L. 1978. A method for obtaining digital signatures and public key cryptosystems. *Comm. of the ACM* **21**: 120-126.
- Said, M. R. M and Loxton, J. 2003. A cubic analogue of the RSA cryptosystem. *Bulletin of the Australia Mathematical Society*, **68**: 21-38.
- Smith, P. J. and Lennon, M. J. J. 1993. LUC: A new public key system. Proceedings of the ninth IFIP international Symposium on Computer Security, 103-117.
- Williams, H. C. 1972. On a generalization of the Lucas functions. *Acta Arithmetica*, **20**: 33-51
- Wong, T. J. and Said, M. R. M. 2006. The fourth order linear recurrence sequence for RSA-type cryptosystem. *Master Thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.*